1 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP TARIFA B. LADDON (SBN 240419) 2 tarifa.laddon@faegredrinker.com 1800 Century Park East, Suite 1500 3 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310-203-4000 4 KATHERINE VILLANUEVA (pro hac vice) 5 kate.villanueva@faegredrinker.com One Logan Square, Suite 2000 6 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-988-2700 7 W. GLENN MERTEN (pro hac vice) 8 glenn.merten@faegredrinker.com 1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100 9 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: 202-230-5235 10 JAMIE M. CAMPISI (pro hac vice) 11 jamie.campisi@faegredrinker.com 600 Campus Drive 12 Florham Park, NJ 07932 Telephone: 973-549-7134 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 KIM-ANH PHAM, on behalf of herself and all Case No. 2:23-cv-00561-KJM-DB 16 others similarly situated, Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller 17 Plaintiff, Courtroom 3 18 v. STIPULATION TO STAY ACTION 19 LINCOLN BENEFIT LIFE COMPANY, and PENDING RESOLUTION OF APPEAL DOES 1 to 50, inclusive, 20 [Filed concurrently with [Proposed] Order] Defendants. 21 Complaint Filed: March 23, 2023 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:23-cv-00561-KJM-SCR Document 56 Filed 05/28/24 Page 1 of 5

Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

- 1				
1	Plaintiff Kim-Ahn Pham, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, and			
2	Defendant Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("Lincoln Benefit") hereby stipulate as follows:			
3	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2023, Plaintiff filed this putative class action;			
4	WHEREAS, on June 13, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Related Case, indicating that the			
5	action was related to Farley v. Lincoln Benefit Life Company, Case No. 2:20-cv-02485-KJM-Dl			
6	(Dkt. No. 22);			
7	WHEREAS, on June 14, 2023, Lincoln Benefit moved to dismiss the Complaint (Dkt. No.			
8	24);			
9	WHEREAS, the Court entered a Related Case Order, finding that this case and the Farley			
10	Action were related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a)(3), and reassigning this case to			
11	Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes (Dkt. No. 28);			
12	WHEREAS, on August 11, 2023, the Court heard argument on Lincoln Benefit's Motion			
13	to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 47);			
14	WHEREAS, on October 26, 2023, the Court granted Lincoln Benefit's Motion to Dismiss,			
15	and dismissed the Complaint without prejudice (Dkt. No. 51);			
16	WHEREAS, on November 17, 2023, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC")			
17	(Dkt. No. 52);			
18	WHEREAS, Lincoln Benefit filed its Answer to the FAC on December 15, 2023 (Dkt. No.			
19	55);			
20	WHEREAS, on or about January 8, 2024, Plaintiff propounded (i) Plaintiff's First Set of			
21	Requests for Production of Documents, and (ii) Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories (together, the			
22	"Requests");			
23	WHEREAS, the Court has not issued a scheduling order, set a discovery deadline, or set a			
24	trial date in this matter;			
25	WHEREAS, in Farley, 2023 WL 3007413 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 2023), the Court granted in			
26	part and denied in part the plaintiff's motion for class certification. The Ninth Circuit granted			
27	Lincoln Benefit's petition to appeal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f). (Case No			
28	23-80037 (9th Cir.)).			
- 1	2			

pending resolution of the appeal. Farley, 2024 WL 86317 (E.D. Cal. Jan 8, 2024);

WHEREAS, on January 8, 2024, the court entered an order staying the Farley action

WHEREAS, the parties expect that the litigation of this case, including the scope of

WHEREAS, a stay of this case pending the resolution of the *Farley* appeal will advance the

WHEREAS, a stay of this matter dependent upon Defendant's production of certain below

discovery and arguments made by the parties in connection with class certification and summary

judgment, will involve one or more issues pending before the Ninth Circuit in the Farley appeal;

interests of justice because it will conserve the resources of the Court and of the parties;

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

5 6 7

> discovery satisfies the criteria for a stay. See CMAX, Inc. v. Hall, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962) (holding that a court considering a stay must weigh the "competing interests" of "the possible damage which may result from the granting of a stay, the hardship or inequity which a party may suffer in being required to go forward, and the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or

(citing Landis v N. American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936)).

WHEREAS, numerous other courts have ordered stays pending the resolution of *Farley* and other related appeals.¹

complicating of issues, proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay")

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to limit the scope of discovery at this stage to advance the case pending determinations by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in Farley and the related appeals² and to seek a stay of this case pending the referenced appeals;

WHEREAS, Lincoln Benefit will produce within 7 days of the date on which the Court approves this Stipulation: (i) non-privileged documents and communications for Policy No.

¹ See Allen v. Protective Life Ins. Co., Case No. 1:20-cv-00530-JLT-CDB, 2023 WL 8602199 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2023); Schmidt v. Protective Life Ins. Co., et. al, Case No. 1:21-cv-01784-JLT-CDB (E.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2023), Dkt. No. 92; Grundstrom v. Wilco Life Ins. Co., Case No. 3:20-cv-03445-MMC, 2023 WL 8429789 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2023); Phan v. Transamerica Life Ins. Co., Case No. 5:20-cv-03665-BLF, 2023 WL 7597464 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2023); Linhart v. John Hancock Life Ins. Co., Case No. 2:20-cv-02117-TJH-RAO (C.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2024), Dkt. No. 177; Varian v. Principal Nat'l Life Ins. Co., Case No. 1:23-cv-00051-KES-EPG (E.D. Cal. Mar. 1, 2024), Dkt. No. 31.

² Farley v. Lincoln Benefit Life, Case No. 23-16224; Small v. Allianz Life, Case No. 23-55821; Poe v. Northwestern Mutual, Case No. 23-3243; Siino v. Foresters Life, Case No. 23-16189; Moriarty v. Am. Gen. Life Ins. Co., Case No. 23-23-3650; Pitt v. Metropolitan Tower Life, Case No. 23-55566; Park v. AXA Equitable Life Ins. Co., Case No. 23-55130.

Case 2:23-cv-00561-KJM-SCR Document 56 Filed 05/28/24 Page 4 of 5

01T1222277, including any communications to the insured or beneficiary³; (ii) exemplar policy forms for policies issued to putative class members to the extent they were previously produced in *Farley*; (iii) exemplar grace notices and third party notices and forms for policies issued to putative class members to the extent they were previously produced in *Farley*; (iv) manuals and procedures related to the Statutes and life insurance policy cancellations, to the extent they were previously produced in *Farley*; and (v) anonymized data previously produced in *Farley* regarding life insurance policies issued or delivered in California before January 1, 2013, that were subsequently recorded as lapsed or terminated for nonpayment of premium after January 1, 2013, to the extent related to the putative class in this case.⁴ To the extent that any of the materials produced are not dated, LBL will provide information regarding the effective dates of the procedures and/or manuals. This production with without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to seek additional discovery and compliance with its currently outstanding discovery once the stay is lifted.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate

- Lincoln Benefit shall produce the information set forth above within 7 days of the date of this Order;
- This case will be stayed pending a ruling by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in the *Farley* appeal;
- Either party may petition the Court to lift the stay in the event of a substantive ruling in any of the related appeals.
- The parties shall file a Joint Status Report within 14 days after the final resolution of the *Farley* appeal.

///

///

///

³ Lincoln Benefit will produce such documents and communications that are not privileged.

⁴ The parties agree that Lincoln Benefit will produce this information in lieu of (and without waiver of) any and all objections and formal written responses, and that Lincoln Benefit need not at this time produce a privilege log.

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Los Angeles, CA

	Case 2:23-cv-00561-KJM-SCR Docum	nent 56	Filed 05/28/24 Page 5 of 5	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
2	DATED: May 28, 2024	HAG	ENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
3 4		By:	/s/ Christopher R. Pitoun Christopher R. Pitoun Abigail D. Pershing	
5			David S. Klevatt (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Timothy M. Howe (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
6 7	KLEVATT & ASSOCIATES, LLC			
8			Joseph M. Vanek (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Mitch Macknin (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) John P. Bjork (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
9			SPERLING & SLATER, P.C.	
10			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12			KIM-ANH PHAM, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated	
13	DATED: May 28, 2024	FAEC	GRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP	
14		Drn	/a/Tavifa P. Laddon	
15		By:	/s/ Tarifa B. Laddon TARIFA B. LADDON KATHERINE VILLANUEVA (pro hac vice)	
16			W. GLENN MERTEN (pro hac vice) JAMIE M. CAMPISI (pro hac vice)	
17			Attorneys for Defendant LINCOLN BENEFIT LIFE COMPANY	
18			LINCOLN BENEFIT LIFE COMPANT	
19				
20	ATTESTATION I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur			
21 22	in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.			
23			5'	
24	Dated: May 28, 2024	<u>/s/ Tai</u>	rifa B. Laddon	
25	TARIFA B. LADDON			
26				
27				
28				
ER LLP		5	STIPULATION TO STAY ACTION	

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Los Angeles, CA

STIPULATION TO STAY ACTION CASE No. 2:23-CV-00561-KJM-DB